

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.394 OF 2003

Shri D.J.Kore & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Asst.Regr.C.S.(S.R.A.) & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Prashant Naik i/b Mr.Y.R.Naik for Petitioners.

Mr.A.S.Rao i/b Mr.S.G.Surana for Respondent No.1.

Mr.S.A.Pawar for Respondent No.4.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JUNE 22, 2006.

P.C.

1. From the order dated 20th February 2004, it is seen that keys in respect of office premises were handed over to the Petitioners by the Respondents 3, 4 and 5. The Petitioners were required to make statement as to whether vacant possession of office premises have been delivered. According to the Respondents they have handed over charge to the Petitioners. Mr.Naik for the Petitioners submits that he has no instructions in

the matter.

2. There is no reason to doubt the statement made by the Respondents 3, 4 and 5 as is recorded in order dated 20th February 2004. Petition dismissed for want of instructions. Notices issued to Respondents stand discharged.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.